## FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

STEVEN AUSNIT

Claim No.CU -3716

Decision No.CU 2565

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended

Counsel for claimant:

Milton Kestenberg, Esquire

## PROPOSED DECISION

This claim against the Government of Cuba, filed under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, in the amount of \$250,000.00, was presented by STEVEN AUSNIT and is based upon the asserted non-payment of a debt due. Claimant stated that he has been a national of the United States since 1944.

Under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949 [78 Stat. 1110 (1964) 22 U.S.C. §§1643-1643k (1964), as amended, 79 Stat. 988 (1965)], the Commission is given jurisdiction over claims of nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba. Section 503(a) of the Act provides that the Commission shall receive and determine in accordance with applicable substantive law, including international law, the amount and validity of claims by nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba arising since January 1, 1959 for

> losses resulting from the nationalization, expropriation, intervention or other taking of, or special measures directed against, property including any rights or interests therein owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly at the time by nationals of the United States.

Section 502(3) of the Act provides:

The term 'property' means any property, right, or interest including any leasehold interest, and debts owed by the Government of Cuba or by enterprises which have been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba and debts which are a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

Section 504 of the Act provides, as to ownership of claims, that

(a) A claim shall not be considered under section 503(a) of this title unless the property on which the claim was based was owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly by a national of the United States on the date of the loss and if considered shall be considered only to the extent the claim has been held by one or more nationals of the United States continuously thereafter until the date of filing with the Commission.

The Regulations of the Commission provide:

The claimant shall be the moving party and shall have the burden of proof on all issues involved in the determination of his claim. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. §531.6(d) (Supp. 1967).)

The claim assertedly arose in 1959, as a result of a failure to honor settlement entered between "Compagnie Europeenne de Participations Industrielles" (CEPI) and CAJA ee Retiro y Asistencia Social de Los Trabajadores Gastronemicos (CAJA). It is said that in 1955 CEPI entered into an agreement with CAJA and others; that CAJA thereafter breached the agreement and a settlement was entered into pursuant to which CEPI was to receive \$250,000.00 partially in cash and partially in bonds, but that the agreement was not carried out by the Government of Cuba. Claimant assertedly owns 2,230 shares of CEPI, incorporated in Monaco. Claimant was advised, through counsel, as to the type of evidence proper for submission to establish this claim under the Act.

On April 23, 1968, counsel was invited to submit any evidence available to him within 45 days from that date, and he was informed, that, absent such evidence, it might become necessary to determine the claim on the basis of the existing record. Counsel stated that the evidence submitted to him was not sufficient to establish the claim.

The Commission finds that claimant has not met the burden of proof in that he has failed to establish ownership of rights and interests in property which was nationalized, expropriated, or otherwise taken by the Government of Cuba. Thus, the Commission is constrained to deny this claim and it is hereby denied. The Commission deems it unnecessary to make determinations with respect to other elements of the claim.

Dated at Washington, D. C., and entered as the Proposed Decision of the Commission

JUL 31 1968

Leonard v. B. Sutton. Chairman

Theodore Jaffe, Commissioner

Sidney Freidberg, Commissioner

Notice: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, if no objections are filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Proposed Decision, the decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. 531.5(e) and (g) as amended, 32 Fed. Reg. 412-13 (1967).)